

USDA United States
Department of
Agriculture

**Natural
Resources
Conservation
Service**

Colorado Basin Outlook Report June 1, 2007



Basin Outlook Reports and Federal - State - Private Cooperative Snow Surveys

For more water supply and resource management information, contact:

Michael A. Gillespie
Data Collection Office Supervisor
USDA, Natural Resources Conservation Service
655 Parfet St., Rm E200C
Lakewood, CO 80215-5517
Phone (720) 544-2852

How forecasts are made

Most of the annual streamflow in the western United States originates as snowfall that has accumulated in the mountains during the winter and early spring. As the snowpack accumulates, hydrologists estimate the runoff that will occur when it melts. Measurements of snow water equivalent at selected manual snow courses and automated SNOTEL sites, along with precipitation, antecedent streamflow, and indices of the El Niño / Southern Oscillation are used in computerized statistical and simulation models to prepare runoff forecasts. These forecasts are coordinated between hydrologists in the Natural Resources Conservation Service and the National Weather Service. Unless otherwise specified, all forecasts are for flows that would occur naturally without any upstream influences.

Forecasts of any kind, of course, are not perfect. Streamflow forecast uncertainty arises from three primary sources: (1) uncertain knowledge of future weather conditions, (2) uncertainty in the forecasting procedure, and (3) errors in the data. The forecast, therefore, must be interpreted not as a single value but rather as a range of values with specific probabilities of occurrence. The middle of the range is expressed by the 50% exceedance probability forecast, for which there is a 50% chance that the actual flow will be above, and a 50% chance that the actual flow will be below, this value. To describe the expected range around this 50% value, four other forecasts are provided, two smaller values (90% and 70% exceedance probability) and two larger values (30%, and 10% exceedance probability). For example, there is a 90% chance that the actual flow will be more than the 90% exceedance probability forecast. The others can be interpreted similarly.

The wider the spread among these values, the more uncertain the forecast. As the season progresses, forecasts become more accurate, primarily because a greater portion of the future weather conditions become known; this is reflected by a narrowing of the range around the 50% exceedance probability forecast. Users should take this uncertainty into consideration when making operational decisions by selecting forecasts corresponding to the level of risk they are willing to assume about the amount of water to be expected. If users anticipate receiving a lesser supply of water, or if they wish to increase their chances of having an adequate supply of water for their operations, they may want to base their decisions on the 90% or 70% exceedance probability forecasts, or something in between. On the other hand, if users are concerned about receiving too much water (for example, threat of flooding), they may want to base their decisions on the 30% or 10% exceedance probability forecasts, or something in between. Regardless of the forecast value users choose for operations, they should be prepared to deal with either more or less water. (Users should remember that even if the 90% exceedance probability forecast is used, there is still a 10% chance of receiving less than this amount.) By using the exceedance probability information, users can easily determine the chances of receiving more or less water.

The U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA) prohibits discrimination in all its programs and activities on the basis of race, color, national origin, age, disability, and where applicable, sex, marital status, familial status, parental status, religion, sexual orientation, genetic information, political beliefs, reprisal, or because all or a part of an individual's income is derived from any public assistance program. (Not all prohibited bases apply to all programs.) Persons with disabilities who require alternative means for communication of program information (Braille, large print, audiotape, etc.) should contact USDA's TARGET Center at (202) 720-2600 (voice and TDD). To file a complaint of discrimination write to USDA, Director, Office of Civil Rights, 1400 Independence Avenue, S.W., Washington, D.C. 20250-9410 or call (800) 795-3272 (voice) or (202) 720-6382 (TDD). USDA is an equal opportunity provider and employer.

COLORADO

WATER SUPPLY OUTLOOK REPORT

JUNE 1, 2007

Summary

Warm and dry weather has contributed to accelerated snowmelt across most of Colorado. Snowpack totals have dipped to well below average levels across the state. With the lack of any significant improvements in spring precipitation this year, below average runoff continues to be forecast nearly statewide, with some basins facing significant shortages. Those locations with the driest outlook occur along the extreme western portion of the state. Water supply prospects improve along the Continental Divide and points east, as near average to just slightly below average runoff is expected in these basins. Reservoir storage continues to be the bright spot for future water availability in many basins. With storage volumes above average for the first spring since 2001, supplemental supplies should be available for late-season deliveries.

Snowpack

Colorado's snowpack, as a percent of average, dropped to the lowest levels of the year on June 1, and now stands at only 40% of average. These readings remain in considerable contrast to last year's snowpack, at 157% of last year's totals on this date. Although these readings are considerably higher than last year, this is evidence of the dryness of the spring of 2006, rather than a wet 2007. Rapid melt out has been observed in all basins during May, leaving basinwide totals to range from a low of only 20% of average in the Yampa and White Basins, to a high of 69% of average in the South Platte Basin. Northwestern Colorado saw the greatest decreases in percents of average during May. The snowpack in the Colorado, Yampa, White and North Platte basins all decrease by 25 to 35 percentage points from last month. Meanwhile, the smallest decreases were seen in the San Juan, Animas, Dolores, San Miguel, and Rio Grande basins, which dropped by only 5 to 15 percentage points. For most of the state, melt progressed nearly unimpeded throughout May, with only a couple of brief periods when storms dropped temperatures and brought slight additions to the snowpack. At the current rate of melting, all basins are expected to reach complete melt out, anywhere from three to four weeks earlier than normal this spring. This year's below average snowpack marks the eighth consecutive year with below average readings on June 1.

Precipitation

May's precipitation pattern across Colorado was driven by abundant moisture across New Mexico, which surged into southern Colorado. This resulted in the northwestern corner of the state receiving continued warm and dry conditions, while portions of southern Colorado benefited from the additional moisture. This pattern is confirmed by the monthly SNOTEL basinwide statistics which show May totals of 140% of average in the Rio Grande Basin, closely followed by 135% of average in the San Juan, Animas, Dolores, and San Miguel basins. In contrast, only 57% of average precipitation was measured in the Yampa and White basins during May. For the state, precipitation measured at SNOTEL sites during May was 86% of average, but was 196% of last year's May totals. Statewide, water year totals for the last eight months are 93% of average as of June 1, and are 103% of last year's totals. Only the Rio Grande basin is now reporting above average water year precipitation, at 107% of average.

Reservoir Storage



Reservoir storage is now near to above average in all basins of the state. These storage volumes are the highest levels reached in the past 6 years. Statewide storage is now 110% of average and is 111% of last year's storage on this date. In terms of volume, the current storage levels are 387,000 acre-feet above the average for this date. Although above average, these volumes remain at only 69% of the available capacity for the 76 reservoirs in the survey. Basinwide storage currently ranges from 99% of average in the South Platte and Rio Grande basins, to a high of 123% of average in the Gunnison basin. The Arkansas and South Platte basins have shown the greatest improvements in storage from last summer. Since June 2006, the Arkansas basin's storage has increased by 260,000 acre-feet, while in the South Platte, storage has increased by 232,000 acre-feet. The additional surplus water will certainly help water users cope with shortages which are anticipated across much of the state this summer.

Streamflow

For most of the forecast points across the state, only minor adjustments were made to runoff forecasts again this month. This continues the trend of below average runoff forecast across the Western Slope of the state, and slightly below average to near average runoff forecast throughout most of the Arkansas and South Platte basins. With southern Colorado benefiting from above average precipitation during May, many of those basins saw slight improvements in this month's forecasts. However, even with these improvements, runoff forecasts continue to call for below average volumes this summer in these basins. With snowmelt occurring faster than normal in most basins, peak flows may be somewhat earlier than normal, followed by rapidly receding volumes as the summer progresses. Fortunately for all of western Colorado, reservoir storage is currently above average.

GUNNISON RIVER BASIN

as of June 1, 2007



*Based on selected stations

Gunnison River Basin snowpacks dropped significantly over the last month, falling from 47 percent of average on May 1 to only 26 percent of average on June 1. This marks the third month in a row that the basin has seen a decline in its percent of average figures. Compared to last year, this year's snowpack only contains about 68 percent of the snow water content measured a year ago. Data indicates that all the SNOTEL sites in the basin have melted out with the exception of Columbine Pass, Red Mountain Pass and Slumgullion. Sub-basin snowpacks within the basin ranged from 2 percent of average in the Surface Creek Drainage to 92 percent of average in the Uncompahgre Watershed (mostly due to the near average conditions at the Red Mountain Pass SNOTEL site). High elevation precipitation was slightly below normal, at 96 percent of average. That makes seven consecutive months of below average precipitation. Despite that, total precipitation for the water year remains near normal at 93 percent of average, thanks in large part to the exceptional precipitation accumulated during October 2006. Stored water at the end of May was 123 percent of average. Current storage is about 83 percent of the total useable capacity. June-July streamflows are expected to be well below average for most forecast points in the basin. The exception to this is Lake Fork at Gateview which is expected to see 91 percent of average June-July runoff. At the lower end of the spectrum, Inflow to Paonia Reservoir is forecast at a measly 26 percent of average.

GUNNISON RIVER BASIN
Streamflow Forecasts - June 1, 2007

Forecast Point	Forecast Period	<<==== Drier ==== Future Conditions ==== Wetter =====>>						30-Yr Avg. (1000AF)
		90%		50%		10%		
		(1000AF)	(1000AF)	(1000AF)	(% AVG.)	(1000AF)	(1000AF)	
Taylor Park blw Taylor Park Res (2)	APR-JUL	56	63	68	66	73	82	103
	JUN-JUL	21	28	33	49	38	47	68
Slate River nr Crested Butte	APR-JUL	51	55	58	65	61	67	89
	JUN-JUL	15.6	19.8	23	50	26	32	46
East River at Almont	APR-JUL	105	114	120	63	127	137	192
	JUN-JUL	34	43	49	42	56	66	118
Gunnison River near Gunnison (2)	APR-JUL	182	200	220	56	235	265	390
	JUN-JUL	61	81	97	40	114	142	240
Tomichi Creek at Sargents	APR-JUL	20	22	24	75	26	29	32
	JUN-JUL	2.3	4.2	5.9	38	7.8	11.2	15.7
Cochetopa Creek Blw Rock Ck Nr Parli	APR-JUL	10.4	11.8	13.0	75	14.4	17.0	17.3
	JUN-JUL	2.40	3.80	5.00	63	6.40	9.00	8.00
Tomichi Creek at Gunnison	APR-JUL	35	39	43	53	47	55	81
	JUN-JUL	7.1	11.3	15.0	35	19.4	27	43
Lake Fork at Gateview	APR-JUL	113	122	129	102	136	147	126
	JUN-JUL	64	73	80	91	87	98	88
Blue Mesa Reservoir Inflow (2)	APR-JUL	400	440	465	65	495	545	720
	JUN-JUL	145	182	210	49	240	290	425
Paonia Reservoir Inflow	MAR-JUN	64	66	68	68	70	74	100
	JUN-JUN	2.8	4.7	6.4	24	8.5	12.4	27
	APR-JUL	52	55	57	56	60	65	102
	JUN-JUL	3.4	6.0	8.4	26	11.4	17.0	33
North Fork Gunnison R Nr Somerset (2)	APR-JUL	168	177	185	61	194	210	305
	JUN-JUL	28	37	45	35	54	68	130
Surface Creek at Cedaredge	APR-JUL	8.8	9.5	10.0	59	10.6	11.6	17.1
	JUN-JUL	1.74	2.40	2.90	35	3.50	4.50	8.30
Ridgway Reservoir Inflow	APR-JUL	71	79	85	83	92	102	102
	JUN-JUL	34	42	48	70	55	65	69
Uncompahgre River At Colona (2)	APR-JUL	90	101	110	79	120	137	139
	JUN-JUL	36	47	56	64	66	83	88
Gunnison River Nr Grand Junction (2)	APR-JUL	735	870	965	62	1060	1200	1560
	JUN-JUL	116	250	345	44	440	575	785



GUNNISON RIVER BASIN Reservoir Storage (1000 AF) - End of May					GUNNISON RIVER BASIN Watershed Snowpack Analysis - June 1, 2007			
Reservoir	Usable Capacity	*** Usable Storage ***			Watershed	Number of Data Sites	This Year as % of	
		This Year	Last Year	Avg			Last Yr	Average
BLUE MESA	830.0	664.4	751.4	517.1	UPPER GUNNISON BASIN	9	13	7
CRAWFORD	14.0	6.1	14.0	12.6	SURFACE CREEK BASIN	2	56	2
FRUITGROWERS	4.4	4.4	4.2	4.0	UNCOMPAHGRE BASIN	3	0	92
FRUITLAND	9.2	7.7	7.3	6.3	TOTAL GUNNISON RIVER BASI	12	68	26
MORROW POINT	121.0	113.2	111.0	113.8				
PAONIA	15.4	15.4	15.4	15.7				
RIDGWAY	83.0	75.4	78.7	61.2				
TAYLOR PARK	106.0	98.2	89.2	71.8				

* 90%, 70%, 50%, 30%, and 10% chances of exceeding are the probabilities that the actual volume will exceed the volumes in the table.

The average is computed for the 1971-2000 base period.

- (1) - The values listed under the 10% and 90% Chance of Exceeding are actually 5% and 95% exceedance levels.
- (2) - The value is natural volume - actual volume may be affected by upstream water management.

UPPER COLORADO RIVER BASIN as of June 1, 2007



*Based on selected stations

Colorado River Basin snowpacks took a big hit during May. The basin saw its snowpack percentages drop from 71 percent of average on May 1 to 34 percent of average on June 1. By comparison, this year's snowpacks are 89 percent of the snowpacks present a year ago. This also represents the tenth consecutive year of below average June 1 snowpacks. With the exception of Willow Creek Pass, all the SNOTEL sites in the basin below 10,500 ft. elevation have melted out. Watershed snowpacks within the basin ranged from 0 percent of average (no snow at any of the measuring sites) in the Muddy Creek and Roaring Fork drainages to 102 percent of average in the Williams Fork Watershed. Mountain precipitation during the past month was 70 percent of the May average. As low as this may seem, it is 136 percent of the precipitation received during May 2006. The below average monthly total caused a slight decline in the water year totals, lowering them to 93 percent of average. Reservoir storage figures continue to improve. Stored water at the end of May is above normal at 112 percent of average. This is a 5 percent improvement over the amount of water stored a year ago. Current storage is 79 percent of the useable capacity. June-July runoff is expected to be below to well below average throughout the basin. Totals for the two-month period are expected to range from 31 percent of average for Muddy Creek below Wolford Mountain Reservoir to 80 percent of average for the Inflow to Dillon Reservoir.

UPPER COLORADO RIVER BASIN
Streamflow Forecasts - June 1, 2007

Forecast Point	Forecast Period	Future Conditions						30-Yr Avg. (1000AF)
		<<==== Drier =====		====		==== Wetter =====>>		
		90% (1000AF)	70% (1000AF)	Chance Of Exceeding * 50% (1000AF) (% AVG.)		30% (1000AF)	10% (1000AF)	
Lake Granby Inflow (2)	APR-JUL JUN-JUL	149 71	167 89	180 102	80 65	194 116	215 139	225 156
Willow Creek Reservoir Inflow	APR-JUL JUN-JUL	34 10.0	37 13.5	40 16.4	78 68	43 19.6	49 25	51 24
Williams Fork Reservoir Inflow (2)	APR-JUL JUN-JUL	79 38	85 44	90 49	95 72	95 54	103 62	95 68
Dillon Reservoir Inflow (2)	APR-JUL JUN-JUL	148 78	158 88	165 95	99 80	172 102	184 114	167 119
Green Mountain Reservoir Inflow (2)	APR-JUL JUN-JUL	240 129	260 146	270 158	96 79	285 171	305 191	280 199
Muddy Creek blw Wolford Mtn Resv (2)	APR-JUL JUN-JUL	28 5.0	29 5.7	30 6.4	50 31	32 8.6	36 12.7	60 21
Eagle River below Gypsum (2)	APR-JUL JUN-JUL	230 95	255 117	270 133	81 59	285 150	315 177	335 225
Colorado River Near Dotsero (2)	APR-JUL JUN-JUL	980 415	1080 515	1150 585	80 65	1230 660	1350 780	1440 905
Ruedi Reservoir Inflow (2)	APR-JUL JUN-JUL	96 40	106 51	115 59	82 62	124 68	137 81	141 96
Roaring Fork At Glenwood Springs (2)	APR-JUL JUN-JUL	445 194	495 240	525 270	74 55	560 305	615 360	710 490
Colorado River Near Cameo (2)	APR-JUL JUN-JUL	1500 585	1680 760	1800 880	74 58	1920 1000	2090 1170	2420 1530



UPPER COLORADO RIVER BASIN Reservoir Storage (1000 AF) - End of May					UPPER COLORADO RIVER BASIN Watershed Snowpack Analysis - June 1, 2007			
Reservoir	Usable Capacity	*** Usable Storage ***			Watershed	Number of Data Sites	This Year as % of	
		This Year	Last Year	Avg			Last Yr	Average
DILLON	250.7	247.7	246.2	229.0	BLUE RIVER BASIN	5	150	67
LAKE GRANBY	465.6	292.5	297.4	302.9	UPPER COLORADO RIVER BASIN	19	151	48
GREEN MOUNTAIN	146.8	108.1	87.7	76.1	MUDDY CREEK BASIN	2	0	0
HOMESTAKE	43.0	31.9	20.4	20.3	PLATEAU CREEK BASIN	2	56	2
RUEDI	102.0	85.8	77.8	74.2	ROARING FORK BASIN	7	0	0
VEGA	32.9	33.3	33.7	29.2	WILLIAMS FORK BASIN	2	519	102
WILLIAMS FORK	97.0	95.8	92.5	63.6	WILLOW CREEK BASIN	2	0	62
WILLOW CREEK	9.1	6.3	6.5	7.4	TOTAL COLORADO RIVER BASIN	28	89	34

* 90%, 70%, 50%, 30%, and 10% chances of exceeding are the probabilities that the actual volume will exceed the volumes in the table.

The average is computed for the 1971-2000 base period.

- (1) - The values listed under the 10% and 90% Chance of Exceeding are actually 5% and 95% exceedance levels.
(2) - The value is natural volume - actual volume may be affected by upstream water management.

SOUTH PLATTE RIVER BASIN as of June 1, 2007



*Based on selected stations

Warm, dry conditions during May took their toll on the South Platte River Basin snowpacks. June 1 measurements show the basin snowpacks at 69 percent of average, down from 93 percent of average on May 1. Despite this, in terms of percentages, the South Platte has the highest June 1 basin snowpack figures in the state. The last time the basin saw above average conditions on June 1 was in 1999. While this is the third month in a row of below average conditions, this year's measurements were still over four times higher than those reported this time last year. With the exception of three lower elevation sites, SNOTEL indicates most sites still had snow at them on June 1. Sub-basin snowpack conditions ranged from 0 percent of average in the Saint Vrain to 153 percent of average in the Upper South Platte. Mountain precipitation during May was below normal, at 76 percent of average. This resulted in the water year precipitation totals dropping to 99 percent of average. However, this is still 11 percent higher than the water year totals reported last June. Reservoir storage at the end of May was 99 percent of average and 119 percent of last year's storage figures. Current storage is 88 percent of the useable capacity. June-July streamflows are expected to be near average to below average at most forecast points in the basin. Runoff volumes are forecast to range from 63 percent of average for the Cache La Poudre at Canyon Mouth to 164 percent of average for Bear Creek at Morrison.

SOUTH PLATTE RIVER BASIN
Streamflow Forecasts - June 1, 2007

Forecast Point	Forecast Period	<<===== Drier ===== Future Conditions ===== Wetter =====>>						30-Yr Avg. (1000AF)				
		90%		70%		50%			30%		10%	
		(1000AF)	(1000AF)	(1000AF)	(% AVG.)	(1000AF)	(1000AF)		(1000AF)	(1000AF)	(1000AF)	(1000AF)
Antero Reservoir inflow	APR-JUL	12.3	14.3	15.9	95	18.0	22	16.8				
	APR-SEP	13.9	16.7	18.9	86	22	28	22				
	JUN-JUL	5.4	7.2	9.0	78	11.0	14.9	11.5				
	JUN-SEP	6.5	9.1	12.0	78	14.2	19.9	15.4				
Spinney Mountain Reservoir inflow	APR-JUL	37	44	51	91	59	75	56				
	APR-SEP	42	53	62	90	74	97	69				
	JUN-JUL	19.1	26	33	85	41	57	39				
	JUN-SEP	24	35	44	85	56	79	52				
Elevenmile Canyon Reservoir inflow	APR-JUL	39	47	53	91	61	76	58				
	APR-SEP	44	54	64	89	76	101	72				
	JUN-JUL	20	28	34	83	42	57	41				
	JUN-SEP	25	35	45	83	57	82	54				
Cheesman Lake inflow	APR-JUL	100	113	125	110	140	169	114				
	APR-SEP	111	129	146	104	167	210	140				
	JUN-JUL	34	47	59	82	74	103	72				
	JUN-SEP	46	63	80	81	102	145	99				
South Platte River at South Platte	APR-JUL	215	240	260	127	285	330	205				
	APR-SEP	245	275	300	118	335	390	255				
	JUN-JUL	64	87	107	86	131	177	124				
	JUN-SEP	91	120	148	86	181	240	172				
Bear Creek abv Evergreen	APR-JUL	24	27	30	155	33	40	19.3				
	APR-SEP	28	32	36	144	40	49	25				
	JUN-JUL	6.7	9.8	12.5	120	16.0	23	10.4				
	JUN-SEP	10.2	14.4	18.2	114	23	32	16.0				
Bear Creek at Morrison	APR-JUL	31	37	44	176	52	68	25				
	APR-SEP	35	44	52	168	63	83	31				
	JUN-JUL	6.3	13.0	19.5	164	28	44	11.9				
	JUN-SEP	10.3	19.5	28	158	39	59	17.7				
Clear Creek at Golden	APR-JUL	108	117	124	113	132	144	110				
	APR-SEP	126	137	146	109	155	172	134				
	JUN-JUL	60	69	76	93	84	96	82				
	JUN-SEP	78	89	98	93	108	124	106				
St. Vrain Creek at Lyons	APR-JUL	72	79	85	90	91	102	94				
	APR-SEP	84	93	100	92	108	121	109				
	JUN-JUL	40	47	54	87	59	70	62				
	JUN-SEP	52	61	69	89	76	89	78				
Boulder Creek nr Orodell	APR-JUL	49	53	56	108	59	65	52				
	APR-SEP	55	59	63	105	67	74	60				
	JUN-JUL	25	28	34	103	34	39	33				
	JUN-SEP	30	34	41	103	41	47	40				
South Boulder nr Eldorado Spgs	APR-JUL	39	42	45	109	48	55	41				
	APR-SEP	41	46	49	107	53	59	46				
	JUN-JUL	17.2	21	24	94	27	33	26				
	JUN-SEP	20	25	28	93	32	39	30				
Big Thompson River at mouth nr Drake	APR-JUL	71	78	84	85	90	101	99				
	APR-SEP	84	94	101	85	109	123	119				
	JUN-JUL	39	46	52	74	58	69	70				
	JUN-SEP	52	61	69	78	76	88	88				
CACHE LaPOUDRE at Canyon Mouth	APR-JUL	154	169	182	74	196	220	245				
	APR-SEP	171	187	200	73	220	245	275				
	JUN-JUL	74	88	101	63	114	138	160				
	JUN-SEP	89	108	121	65	138	166	186				



SOUTH PLATTE RIVER BASIN Reservoir Storage (1000 AF) - End of May					SOUTH PLATTE RIVER BASIN Watershed Snowpack Analysis - June 1, 2007			
Reservoir	Usable Capacity	*** This Year	Usable Storage Last Year	*** Avg	Watershed	Number of Data Sites	This Year as % of Last Yr	% of Average
ANTERO	19.9	20.0	9.8	16.0	BIG THOMPSON BASIN	3	0	30
BARR LAKE	32.0	29.1	20.6	27.7	BOULDER CREEK BASIN	3	223	85
BLACK HOLLOW	6.5	3.4	3.4	4.4	CACHE LA POUFRE BASIN	2	257	61
BOYD LAKE	44.0	28.2	28.0	40.0	CLEAR CREEK BASIN	2	326	66
BUTTON ROCK/RALPH PRICE	16.2	15.3	14.6	14.7	SAINT VRRAIN BASIN	1	0	0
CACHE LA POUFRE	10.1	9.7	7.3	9.1	UPPER SOUTH PLATTE BASIN	6	0	153
CARTER	108.9	77.4	85.2	100.2	TOTAL SOUTH PLATTE BASIN	17	443	69
CHAMBERS LAKE	8.8	2.8	2.3	5.8				
CHEESMAN	79.0	79.5	75.8	66.2				
COBB LAKE	22.3	3.4	7.6	14.7				
ELEVEN MILE	98.0	99.3	101.1	97.1				
EMPIRE	36.5	36.5	21.8	30.7				
FOSSIL CREEK	11.1	8.1	8.3	8.0				
GROSS	42.0	39.8	27.6	28.8				
HALLIGAN	6.4	6.4	2.6	6.0				
HORSECREEK	14.7	14.3	8.8	14.1				
HORSETOOTH	149.7	117.0	110.7	123.2				
JACKSON	26.1	26.1	19.6	30.6				
JULESBURG	20.5	20.5	15.6	21.5				
LAKE LOVELAND	14.0	11.6	7.5	11.0				
LONE TREE	9.0	8.8	6.3	8.6				
MARIANO	6.0	5.4	4.4	5.4				
MARSHALL	10.0	9.6	6.7	8.2				
MARSTON	13.0	12.4	10.1	15.3				
MILTON	24.0	22.7	15.5	19.3				
POINT OF ROCKS	70.6	70.2	49.1	66.3				
PREWITT	28.2	24.6	16.8	26.7				
RIVERSIDE	55.8	53.7	35.9	56.0				
SPINNEY MOUNTAIN	49.0	46.9	38.2	35.6				
STANDLEY	42.0	41.2	32.1	36.8				
TERRY LAKE	8.0	7.7	5.2	7.0				
UNION	13.0	12.6	10.4	12.2				
WINDSOR	15.2	10.6	7.7	15.0				

* 90%, 70%, 50%, 30%, and 10% chances of exceeding are the probabilities that the actual volume will exceed the volumes in the table.

The average is computed for the 1971-2000 base period.

- (1) - The values listed under the 10% and 90% Chance of Exceeding are actually 5% and 95% exceedance levels.
- (2) - The value is natural volume - actual volume may be affected by upstream water management.

YAMPA, WHITE, NORTH PLATTE AND LARAMIE RIVER BASINS as of June 1, 2007



*Based on selected stations

Snowpacks in the combined Yampa, White and North Platte River basins continued to decline during May. At 30 percent of average, this is the second lowest basin June 1 snowpack figure in the state and is only 45 percent of the snow water content measured a year ago. This also makes it the eighth consecutive year of below normal June 1 conditions. SNOTEL data shows the only sites with snow remaining are above the 10,000 ft. elevation level. Snowpack conditions in the sub-basins are all well below average, ranging from 0 percent of average in the Elk River Drainage to 36 percent of average in the White River Watershed. Mountain precipitation during May was 57 percent of average -- the lowest monthly percentage in Colorado. As a result of the low monthly precipitation, yearly totals dropped to 80 percent of average and 78 percent of the precipitation totals reported a year ago. Both Stagecoach and Yamcolo are reporting above average reservoir storage at 110 and 112 percent of average, respectively. At 99 percent of useable capacity, both reservoirs are essentially full. Reflective of the snowpacks, June-July runoff is expected to be well below average throughout the basin. Streamflow volumes during the next two months are expected to range from 13 percent of average for Elkhead Creek below Maynard Gulch to 65 percent of average for the Laramie River near Woods.

YAMPA, WHITE, AND NORTH PLATTE RIVER BASINS
Streamflow Forecasts - June 1, 2007

Forecast Point	Forecast Period	<<===== Drier ===== Future Conditions ===== Wetter =====>>						30-Yr Avg. (1000AF)
		90%		50%		10%		
		(1000AF)	(1000AF)	(1000AF)	(% AVG.)	(1000AF)	(1000AF)	
NORTH PLATTE RIVER nr Northgate	JUN-JUL	50	61	69	52	78	92	133
	JUN-SEP	52	72	85	54	98	118	159
LARAMIE RIVER nr Woods	JUN-JUL	23	39	50	65	61	77	77
	JUN-SEP	29	46	58	65	70	87	89
Yampa R ab Stagecoach Reservoir (2)	APR-JUL	12.6	13.4	15.2	52	17.4	21	29
	JUN-JUL	4.5	5.2	7.0	49	9.2	13.3	14.3
Yampa River at Steamboat Springs (2)	APR-JUL	155	158	165	59	175	191	280
	JUN-JUL	25	28	35	25	45	61	138
Elk River nr Milner	APR-JUL	205	205	210	65	225	245	325
	JUN-JUL	41	43	46	29	60	80	158
Elkhead Creek nr Elkhead	APR-JUL	21	22	22	56	23	25	39
	JUN-JUL	0.70	1.00	1.50	18	2.40	4.20	8.40
Elkhead Creek blw Maynard Gulch (2)	APR-JUL	31	32	32	54	35	38	59
	JUN-JUL	1.0	1.3	1.5	13	4.0	7.7	12.0
Fortification Ck nr Fortification	MAR-JUN	1.63	3.20	4.60	61	6.40	9.90	7.50
	JUN-JUN	0.22	0.23	0.35	26	0.50	0.80	1.35
Yampa River Near Maybell (2)	APR-JUL	505	510	520	53	545	590	990
	JUN-JUL	75	80	90	21	115	157	440
Little Snake River nr Slater	APR-JUL	83	86	90	57	96	105	159
	JUN-JUL	12.0	15.0	19.0	27	25	34	71
Little Snake River nr Dixon	APR-JUL	143	149	155	47	165	184	330
	JUN-JUL	11.0	17.0	23	17	33	52	133
Little Snake River nr Lily	APR-JUL	160	169	178	49	190	210	365
	JUN-JUL	14.0	23	32	22	44	66	148
White River nr Meeker	APR-JUL	146	159	169	58	180	199	290
	JUN-JUL	27	40	50	31	61	80	160

YAMPA, WHITE, AND NORTH PLATTE RIVER BASINS
Reservoir Storage (1000 AF) - End of May

YAMPA, WHITE, AND NORTH PLATTE RIVER BASINS
Watershed Snowpack Analysis - June 1, 2007

Reservoir	Usable Capacity	*** Usable Storage ***			Watershed	Number of Data Sites	This Year as % of	
		This Year	Last Year	Avg			Last Yr	Average
STAGECOACH	33.3	32.9	30.3	29.9	LARAMIE RIVER BASIN	2	700	29
YAMCOLO	8.7	8.6	8.5	7.7	NORTH PLATTE RIVER BASIN	7	73	41
					TOTAL NORTH PLATTE BASIN	9	82	39
					ELK RIVER BASIN	2	0	0
					YAMPA RIVER BASIN	9	40	17
					WHITE RIVER BASIN	4	64	36
					TOTAL YAMPA AND WHITE RIV	12	45	20
					LITTLE SNAKE RIVER BASIN	6	46	32
TOTAL YAMPA, WHITE AND NO	24	67	30					



* 90%, 70%, 50%, 30%, and 10% chances of exceeding are the probabilities that the actual volume will exceed the volumes in the table.

The average is computed for the 1971-2000 base period.

- (1) - The values listed under the 10% and 90% Chance of Exceeding are actually 5% and 95% exceedance levels.
- (2) - The value is natural volume - actual volume may be affected by upstream water management.

ARKANSAS RIVER BASIN

as of June 1, 2007



*Based on selected stations

June 1 measurements in the Arkansas River show the snowpack is currently 68 percent of average, down somewhat from last month's figure of 88 percent of average. However, this is up considerably (32 percent higher) from readings taken last year at this time. It is also the second highest June 1 basin snowpack in the state, in terms of percentages, just behind the South Platte. This month's below average snowpack adds yet another year to the string of years since 1999 with below normal conditions on June 1. Sub-basin snowpack conditions range from 0 percent of average in the Purgatoire to 109 percent of average in the Cucharas & Huerfano. Data from the SNOTEL sites indicate snow has melted out at the sites below 10,800 ft. elevation. May precipitation at the higher elevations was just below normal at 98 percent of average. When compared to last year, this month's precipitation totals were almost 3 times larger. Total precipitation for the water year remains at 99 percent of average and is 30 percent higher than last year's totals for the same period. Reservoir storage continues to improve with current storage at 102 percent of average and 163 percent of the stored water available a year ago. Forecasts indicate June-July flows should be slightly below average to well below average across the basin. Over the next two months, runoff volumes are expected to range from 68 percent of average for the Inflow to Trinidad Lake to 95 percent of average for the Huerfano River near Redwing.

=====

ARKANSAS RIVER BASIN
Streamflow Forecasts - June 1, 2007

=====

Forecast Point	Forecast Period	<<==== Drier ==== Future Conditions ==== Wetter =====>>					30-Yr Avg. (1000AF)	
		==== Chance Of Exceeding * =====						
		90% (1000AF)	70% (1000AF)	50% (1000AF) (% AVG.)		30% (1000AF)		10% (1000AF)
Chalk Ck At Nathrop	APR-JUL	12.4	15.7	18.4	80	21	26	23
	JUN-JUL	6.5	9.8	12.5	69	15.5	20	18.0
	APR-SEP	13.7	17.7	21	78	24	30	27
	JUN-SEP	7.8	11.8	15.0	65	18.5	24	23
Arkansas River At Salida (2)	APR-JUL	175	200	220	86	240	270	255
	JUN-JUL	88	113	131	70	151	182	187
	APR-SEP	194	225	250	81	275	320	310
	JUN-SEP	107	139	163	67	189	230	245
Grape Creek Near Westcliffe	APR-JUL	13.0	16.0	18.7	116	22	28	16.1
	JUN-JUL	2.30	5.30	8.00	91	11.30	17.10	8.80
	APR-SEP	14.7	18.5	22	112	26	32	19.6
	JUN-SEP	4.0	7.8	11.0	89	14.8	21	12.3
Pueblo Reservoir Inflow (2)	APR-JUL	275	315	340	88	375	425	385
	JUN-JUL	122	160	189	73	220	270	259
	APR-SEP	325	380	420	87	465	530	485
	JUN-SEP	173	225	265	74	310	375	360
Huerfano River Near Redwing	APR-JUL	10.8	12.1	13.1	107	14.2	15.8	12.3
	JUN-JUL	5.00	6.30	7.30	95	8.40	10.00	7.70
	APR-SEP	13.0	14.7	16.0	103	17.4	19.6	15.5
	JUN-SEP	7.2	8.9	10.2	93	11.6	13.8	11.0
Cucharas River At Boyd Ranch Nr La V	APR-JUL	10.4	11.6	12.5	111	13.5	15.2	11.3
	JUN-JUL	3.30	4.50	5.40	90	6.40	8.10	6.00
	APR-SEP	11.9	13.4	14.6	112	15.9	17.9	13.0
	JUN-SEP	4.80	6.30	7.50	96	8.80	10.80	7.80
Trinidad Lake Inflow	MAR-JUL	35	38	41	121	44	49	34
	JUN-JUL	6.9	10.3	13.0	68	16.0	21	19.0
	APR-SEP	32	38	43	98	49	58	44
	JUN-SEP	9.8	16.0	21	68	27	36	31

ARKANSAS RIVER BASIN Reservoir Storage (1000 AF) - End of May					ARKANSAS RIVER BASIN Watershed Snowpack Analysis - June 1, 2007			
Reservoir	Usable Capacity	*** Usable Storage ***			Watershed	Number of Data Sites	This Year as % of	
		This Year	Last Year	Avg			Last Yr	Average
ADOBE	62.0	52.6	5.3	33.0	UPPER ARKANSAS BASIN	3	91	58
CLEAR CREEK	11.4	4.4	8.6	6.3	CUCHARAS & HUERFANO RIVER	2	0	109
CUCHARAS RESERVOIR	40.0	3.2	0.0	6.4	PURGATOIRE RIVER BASIN	2	0	0
GREAT PLAINS	150.0	0.0	0.0	39.3	TOTAL ARKANSAS RIVER BASIN	6	132	68
HOLBROOK	7.0	6.3	0.1	4.1				
HORSE CREEK	27.0	0.0	0.0	10.0				
JOHN MARTIN	616.0	81.4	21.1	128.1				
LAKE HENRY	8.0	9.7	6.2	5.7				
MEREDITH	42.0	37.6	13.0	18.5				
PUEBLO	354.0	190.7	134.2	160.1				
TRINIDAD	167.0	31.7	19.6	29.7				
TURQUOISE	127.0	91.5	94.5	77.6				
TWIN LAKES	86.0	65.6	50.1	42.6				

* 90%, 70%, 50%, 30%, and 10% chances of exceeding are the probabilities that the actual volume will exceed the volumes in the table.



The average is computed for the 1971-2000 base period.

(1) - The values listed under the 10% and 90% Chance of Exceeding are actually 5% and 95% exceedance levels.

(2) - The value is natural volume - actual volume may be affected by upstream water management.

UPPER RIO GRANDE RIVER BASIN

as of June 1, 2007



*Based on selected stations

Snowpacks in the Upper Rio Grande Basin posted their fourth consecutive month of below average conditions. June 1 measurements show the basin snowpacks at 53 percent of average. Hard to believe is the fact that this year's measurements are almost five times higher than last year's figures. Except for 2005, every year since 1997 has been below average on June 1. With the exception of Wolf Creek Summit and Middle Creek (both over 11,000 ft. elevation), all the SNOTEL sites in the basin have melted out. Most of the sub-basin snowpacks have melted out at this time. The only watershed with measurable snow is the Upper Rio Grande which boasted a 59 percent of average snowpack. May precipitation in the high country was well above normal at 140 percent of average (the highest monthly precipitation total in the state). As a result of the wetter May, total precipitation for the water year improved to 107 percent of average (the highest year-to-date total in the state). This year's total precipitation is 39 percent higher than the totals reported last year at this time. The earlier melt helped to improve reservoir storage levels again this month. Current storage is 99 percent of average and 155 percent of the stored water available at the end of May 2006. June-September flows are expected to be mostly below to well below average. Volumes are expected to range from 30 percent of average for the San Antonio River at Ortiz to 116 percent of average for Trinchera Creek above Turners Ranch.

UPPER RIO GRANDE BASIN
Streamflow Forecasts - June 1, 2007

Forecast Point	Forecast Period	Future Conditions						30-Yr Avg. (1000AF)
		Drier		Wetter				
		90% (1000AF)	70% (1000AF)	50% (1000AF)	30% (1000AF)	10% (1000AF)	Chance Of Exceeding * (% AVG.)	
Rio Grande At Thirty Mile Bridge (2)	APR-SEP	89	102	111	82	121	137	136
	JUN-SEP	43	56	65	68	75	91	96
Rio Grande Reservoir Inflow	APR-JUL	82	91	97	82	104	115	118
	JUN-JUL	36	45	51	64	58	69	80
Rio Grande At Wagon Wheel Gap (2)	APR-SEP	250	280	300	87	325	365	345
	JUN-SEP	102	132	154	67	178	217	230
South Fork Rio Grande at South Fork	APR-SEP	96	104	110	83	117	128	132
	JUN-SEP	34	42	48	64	55	66	75
Rio Grande nr Del Norte (2)	APR-SEP	385	425	450	85	480	530	531
	JUN-SEP	147	183	210	62	240	288	337
Saguache Creek nr Saguache (2)	APR-SEP	23	27	30	91	33	39	33
	JUN-SEP	9.0	13.0	16.1	77	19.5	25	21
Alamosa Creek Abv Terrace Reservoir	APR-SEP	47	52	55	79	59	65	70
	JUN-SEP	16.4	21	24	57	28	34	42
La Jara Creek nr Capulin	MAR-JUL	6.80	7.10	7.40	85	7.70	8.30	8.70
	JUN-JUL	0.44	0.73	1.00	41	1.32	1.92	2.44
Trinchera Creek abv Turners Ranch	APR-SEP	8.8	11.2	12.8	107	14.4	16.8	12.0
	JUN-SEP	2.50	4.90	6.50	116	8.10	10.50	5.60
Sangre de Cristo Creek	APR-SEP	14.00	15.00	16.00	182	17.00	19.00	8.80
	JUN-SEP	1.00	2.00	3.00	115	4.00	6.00	2.60
Ute Ck nr Fort Garland	APR-SEP	8.5	10.2	11.5	94	13.0	15.6	12.2
	JUN-SEP	4.00	5.70	7.00	90	8.50	11.10	7.80
Platoro Reservoir Inflow	APR-JUL	35	40	43	67	47	52	64
	JUN-JUL	16.6	21	24	60	28	33	40
	APR-SEP	40	44	48	68	52	58	71
	JUN-SEP	21	25	29	63	33	39	46
Conejos River Near Mogote (2)	APR-SEP	131	145	155	78	166	185	200
	JUN-SEP	58	72	82	66	93	112	125
San Antonio River at Ortiz	APR-SEP	11.9	12.0	12.2	74	12.4	12.8	16.4
	JUN-SEP	0.14	0.29	0.44	30	0.63	1.01	1.47
Los Pinos River nr Ortiz	APR-SEP	59	62	64	87	66	71	74
	JUN-SEP	6.2	8.9	11.0	39	13.5	17.7	28
Culebra Creek at San Luis (2)	APR-SEP	20	24	27	117	31	37	23
	JUN-SEP	8.0	11.8	15.0	90	18.7	25	16.7
Costilla Reservoir Inflow	MAR-JUL	10.8	11.8	12.6	119	13.5	15.0	10.6
	JUN-JUL	3.20	4.20	5.00	90	5.90	7.40	5.58
Costilla Creek Near Costilla (2)	MAR-JUL	23	25	27	104	29	32	26
	JUN-JUL	5.6	7.7	9.5	86	11.5	14.9	11.1

UPPER RIO GRANDE BASIN
Reservoir Storage (1000 AF) - End of May



Reservoir	Usable Capacity	Usable Storage ***			Watershed	Watershed Snowpack Analysis - June 1, 2007		
		This Year	Last Year	Avg		Number of Data Sites	This Year as % of Last Yr	% of Average
CONTINENTAL	27.0	6.8	3.1	8.2	ALAMOSA CREEK BASIN	1	0	0
PLATORO	60.0	20.6	12.5	24.5	CONEJOS & RIO SAN ANTONIO	2	0	0
RIO GRANDE	51.0	29.4	17.1	24.2	CULEBRA & TRINCHERA CREEK	3	0	0
SANCHEZ	103.0	23.4	18.9	26.9	UPPER RIO GRANDE BASIN	4	473	59
SANTA MARIA	45.0	9.3	7.5	11.4	TOTAL UPPER RIO GRANDE BA	10	473	53
TERRACE	18.0	12.3	6.5	8.0				

* 90%, 70%, 50%, 30%, and 10% chances of exceeding are the probabilities that the actual volume will exceed the volumes in the table.

The average is computed for the 1971-2000 base period.

- (1) - The values listed under the 10% and 90% Chance of Exceeding are actually 5% and 95% exceedance levels.
- (2) - The value is natural volume - actual volume may be affected by upstream water management.

SAN MIGUEL, DOLORES, ANIMAS, AND SAN JUAN RIVER BASINS as of June 1, 2007



*Based on selected stations

The San Miguel, Dolores, Animas and San Juan River basins benefited substantially from the May precipitation. Although basinwide snowpack percentages declined to only 47 percent of average on June 1, the monthly decline was the least measured in any basin of the state this month. The additional precipitation has helped to improve soil moisture at lower elevations, so runoff efficiency from the remaining snowpack should be improved. Basinwide precipitation during May was 135 percent of average. May was the only month with above average precipitation, and was the highest percent of average monthly precipitation, since October 2006. The additional moisture has helped to substantially improve streamflow forecasts at most forecast points. While all forecasts remain below average in these basins, this month's forecasts show good improvements over last month's forecasts. Some of the greatest improvements were seen on the San Miguel, Piedra, Animas, and the inflow into Navajo Reservoir. With these latest improvements, the April - July streamflow forecasts in these major basins now range from 60 percent of average for the inflow into McPhee Reservoir, to 83 percent of average on the San Miguel near Placerville. Reservoir storage has continued to improve in these basins. Storage has increased again this month, and is now 119 percent of average. These volumes are 106 percent of those in storage at this time last year.

=====

SAN MIGUEL, DOLORES, ANIMAS, AND SAN JUAN RIVER BASINS
Streamflow Forecasts - June 1, 2007

=====

Forecast Point	Forecast Period	<<===== Drier ===== Future Conditions ===== Wetter =====>>						30-Yr Avg. (1000AF)
		90%		50%		10%		
		(1000AF)	(1000AF)	(1000AF)	(% AVG.)	(1000AF)	(1000AF)	
Dolores River at Dolores	APR-JUL	157	167	174	66	182	196	265
	JUN-JUL	28	38	45	40	53	67	113
McPhee Reservoir Inflow	APR-JUL	173	183	191	60	198	215	320
	JUN-JUL	29	39	47	36	56	71	130
San Miguel River nr Placerville	APR-JUL	92	102	109	83	117	131	132
	JUN-JUL	36	46	53	65	61	75	81
Gurley Reservoir Inlet	JUN-JUL	1.88	3.00	3.70	62	4.40	5.50	6.00
	JUN-JUN			3.00	64			4.67
	JUL-JUL			0.70	53			1.32
Cone Reservoir Inlet	JUN-JUL	0.21	0.29	0.40	28	0.72	1.18	1.43
	JUN-JUN			0.30	29			1.04
	JUL-JUL			0.10	26			0.38
Lilylands Reservoir Inlet	JUN-JUL	0.20	0.44	0.60	53	0.76	1.00	1.14
	JUN-JUN			0.50	58			0.87
	JUL-JUL			0.10	37			0.27
Rio Blanco At Blanco Diversion (2)	APR-JUL	35	41	45	85	50	57	53
	JUN-JUL	11.4	14.3	16.6	66	19.1	23	25
Navajo River At Oso Diversion (2)	APR-JUL	40	47	52	75	58	66	69
	JUN-JUL	15.0	18.9	22	63	25	31	35
San Juan River Near Carracas (2)	APR-JUL	265	285	300	74	320	345	405
	JUN-JUL	76	97	113	61	131	160	186
Piedra River near Arboles	APR-JUL	142	152	160	70	168	182	230
	JUN-JUL	39	49	57	64	65	79	89
Vallecito Reservoir Inflow	APR-JUL	142	152	160	78	168	182	205
	JUN-JUL	52	62	70	60	78	92	116
Navajo Reservoir Inflow (2)	APR-JUL	457	533	590	75	651	747	785
	JUN-JUL	130	175	210	61	250	317	345
Animas River at Durango	APR-JUL	278	325	360	82	397	456	440
	JUN-JUL	117	146	169	68	194	234	250
Lemon Reservoir Inflow	APR-JUL	34	38	41	71	45	50	58
	JUN-JUL	10.7	14.4	17.3	52	21	26	33
La Plata River at Hesperus	APR-JUL	10.5	12.5	14.0	56	15.6	18.2	25
	JUN-JUL	2.4	3.4	4.3	39	5.3	7.0	11.0
Mancos River nr Mancos	APR-JUL	12.0	14.0	15.8	40	22	30	40
	JUN-JUN			3.7	27			13.7
	JUL-JUL			2.00	44			4.60

=====

SAN MIGUEL, DOLORES, ANIMAS, AND SAN JUAN RIVER BASINS
Reservoir Storage (1000 AF) - End of May

=====

Reservoir	Usable Capacity	*** Usable Storage ***			Watershed	Number of Data Sites	This Year as % of	
		This Year	Last Year	Avg			Last Yr	Average
GROUNDHOG	22.0	21.7	20.8	18.9	ANIMAS RIVER BASIN	7	0	44
JACKSON GULCH	10.0	10.0	10.0	9.3	DOLORES RIVER BASIN	4	0	0
LEMON	40.0	36.4	38.8	29.2	SAN MIGUEL RIVER BASIN	3	0	38
MCPHEE	381.0	380.4	345.5	328.0	SAN JUAN RIVER BASIN	3	378	55
NARRAGUINNEP	19.0	18.7	18.7	17.4	TOTAL SAN MIGUEL, DOLORES	16	816	47
VALLECITO	126.0	125.0	122.8	93.9	AN JUAN RIVER BASINS			

* 90%, 70%, 50%, 30%, and 10% chances of exceeding are the probabilities that the actual volume will exceed the volumes in the table.

The average is computed for the 1971-2000 base period.

- (1) - The values listed under the 10% and 90% Chance of Exceeding are actually 5% and 95% exceedance levels.
(2) - The value is natural volume - actual volume may be affected by upstream water management.



655 Parfet Street, Room E200C
Lakewood, CO 80215-5517

In addition to the basin outlook reports, water supply forecast information for the Western United States is available from the Natural Resources Conservation Service and the National Weather Service monthly, January through May. The information may be obtained from the National Resources Conservation Service web page at <http://www.wcc.nrcs.usda.gov/wsf/westwide.html>.

Issued by

Released by

Arlen Lancaster
Chief
Natural Resources Conservation Service
U.S. Department of Agriculture

Allen Green
State Conservationist
Natural Resources Conservation Service
Lakewood, Colorado



Colorado
Basin Outlook Report
Natural Resources Conservation Service
Lakewood, CO

